COURT-I

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (Appellate Jurisdiction)

<u>IA NOS. 1548 & 1552 OF 2019 IN</u> <u>DFR NO. 2259 OF 2019</u>

Dated: 11th September, 2019

Present: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Manjula Chellur, Chairperson

Hon'ble Mr. S. D. Dubey, Technical Member

In the matter of:

Bannari Amman Sugars Limited ... Appellant(s)

Versus

Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr.

... Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Ms. Swapna Seshadri

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Vallinayagam for R.2

<u>ORDER</u>

IA No. 1548 of 2019 is filed for condonation of delay of 182 days in filing the appeal. IA No. 1552 of 2019 is filed seeking for leave to file appeal by the Appellant against the order dated 04.01.2019 passed in MP No. 10 of 2018 by the Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission.

The Applicant/Appellant has installed three generating stations In the state of Tamil Nadu. According to the Applicant/Appellant it has paid

the demand charges to TANGEDCO as leviable on a periodic basis. At no point of time, it has applied to TANGEDCO seeking parallel operation with the grid, therefore, no question of granting such permission by TANGEDCO to the Applicant/Appellant arises.

However, on 04.01.2019, the Respondent- Commission had passed impugned order levying Parallel Operation Charges (POC) on all captive power plants for the simple reason of being electrically connected to the system of TANGEDCO. The Applicant/Appellant learnt about the impugned order only when it received bill dated 01.08.2019, demanding payment of Rs.3,18,000/- from it by Respondent-DISCOM towards POC.

Since the time to remit the said amount became effective from August 2014 on the net capacity and the TANGEDCO has included the above amount in the consumption bill of electricity of July 2019, the Applicant/Appellant had no opportunity to represent itself before the State Commission. The Applicant/Appellant was not heard on that at any point of time before the State Commission. The Applicant/Appellant is aggrieved by the exorbitant levy of POC in terms of the impugned order and Applicant/Appellant has been put to grave financial prejudice.

Though the impugned order was passed on 04.01.2019, the Applicant/Appellant came to know about the said order only on therefore. sufficient 01.08.2019. there is cause for the Applicant/Appellant to approach this Tribunal beyond the period of limitation of 45 days contemplated under Section 111 of the Electricity Since the Applicant/Appellant was not a party to the Act. 2003. proceedings, which resulted in the order dated 04.01.2019, the Applicant/Appellant is seeking permission to file the instant appeal challenging the said order.

In the light of the above explanation, we feel that sufficient cause is made out for allowing both the applications i.e., condonation of delay as well as leave to file appeal. Therefore, delay of 182 days in filing the appeal is condoned and leave to file the instant appeal is granted.

Accordingly the applications are disposed of. Registry is directed to number the appeal and list the matter for admission on *18.09.2019*.

(S.D. Dubey)
Technical Member

(Justice Manjula Chellur) Chairperson

 \checkmark